



ECSA 2010

Fourth European Conference on
Software Architecture

IT University of Copenhagen,
Denmark

23.-26.08.2010

Content

- Introduction
- Some things relevant to us
 - Keynotes
 - Some Papers
 - 8th NW-MDE
- Conclusions

Introduction

- A series of European Workshops on Software Architecture started from 2004 (UK)
 - Changed into Conference format from 2007 (Madrid)
- Content
 - from 135 abstracts and 106 papers submitted
 - 19 full research and 31 other shorter papers were accepted
- Keynotes
 - Jan Bosch & Philippe Kruchten & Jim Webber
- This time joint event with 8th Nordic Workshop on Model Driven Software Engineering

Keynotes – Jan Bosch

- speed is the foundation of everything else (efficiency is the by-product of speed)
 - small (max. 3 persons) independent self-directed teams
 - cost of overlapping work is less than cost of synchronization/planning
 - continuous deployment – no release cycles
 - anything anytime should be possible to add, not breaking the system
 - software ecosystem – open platforms
- architecture must
 - focus on simplicity (hide, platformize, automatize)
 - no options for developers!
 - no versions of components – single version of everything!
 - provide compositionality (move from integration to composition)
 - minimize dependencies (decoupling of components & teams)
 - ensure end-to-end quality and fight design erosion
- delightful products

Keynotes – Philippe Kruchten

- Knowledge is an asset
 - Bacon: “Knowledge is power”
- Knowledge evaporates
 - “intellectual capital has legs and it walks ...”
 - use documentation, process and tools to fight knowledge loss
- Often architectural knowledge is tacit
- Knowledge management strategies
 - codification (repository) – document and make available
 - select only essential, for which there is real reader
 - personalization (people) – know who knows!
 - use incentives to make people sharing information

Keynotes – Jim Webber

- usual agile FUD toward enterprise-level tools, but some good advices
- don't absolve yourself from thinking!
 - no to gut feeling – guts are for “food processing”, use your head!
- model and analyze before deciding
 - build working models (they call these “spikes”)
 - no alternatives were evaluated
 - there is no need for queuing = with enough iron it worked without it
 - measure continuously the limiting quality characteristic(s)
- always present large numbers!
 - ~1 000 000 000 req/month = ~390 req/sec
(100 ÷ 1 000 TPS is considered medium)

Some Papers

- Customer Value in Architecture Decision Making
 - What
 - customer value links architecture decisions directly to the business goals
 - customer value quantifies the value of architecture change in money
 - How to use
 - model customer business (in different segments) and
 - analyze impact of architecture changes (quality improvements) on customer business
 - make time-dependent architecture change scenarios and
 - analyze impact of architecture change scenarios on customer business
- Impact Evaluation for Quality-Oriented Architecture Decisions regarding Evolvability
 - plug-in and pipes&filters correspond mostly to evolvability
 - blackboard (central DB!) has negative effect toward evolvability

Some Papers

- Linking Design Decisions to Design Models in MBSD
 - Eclipse + QEDwiki (for architectural decisions)
- Lightweight and Continuous Architecture Software Quality Assurance using the aSQA Technique
 - SEI quality attributes
 - availability, performance, modifiability, testability, security, usability
 - for each estimate (from 1-5): target, current, importance
 - then calculate
 - health = $5 - \max(0, \text{target} - \text{current})$
 - focus = $[(6 - \text{health}) \times \text{importance}/5]$
- ... several ...
 - run-time availability of system (architecture) description
 - support for on-line replacement of components
- Independently Extensible Contexts
 - support for unanticipated extensions
 - network of objects describing the domain (context)

Some Papers

- Explaining Architectural Choices to Non-Architects
 - use radial diagrams, for quality attributes, for comparing different alternatives
 - let them decide (or let them believe that they decide)
- Experiences in Making Architectural Decisions during ...
 - keep architectural description separate from requirements
 - do decisions at right time (as risk management)
 - decision classes:
 - in form of structure
 - in form of technique (how to make structures)
 - in form of (essential) requirements – postpone/delegate decisions
 - in form of process prescription (what to do)
 - decisions need information
 - always model and try things out before the decision
 - link decisions to requirements

Some Papers

- Unifying Software Architecture with its Implementations
 - design is lost in the code – programming languages don't have any constructs that correspond to design structures
 - use code to describe the design
 - naming conventions
 - build systems (system models – maven)
 - component models (Spring, OSGi, ...)
 - metadata (Java annotations, Doxygen, ...)
 - comments
 - AOP
 - architecture recovery is doomed, because the knowledge is not there – it has been thrown away

8th NW-MDSE Keynote

- Language abstractions are insufficient to express what platforms offer
- Great increase in computing power, but software is still made as 40 years ago
- Biggest driver of architecture is Conway's Law
 - usually common domain expertise group is missing!
- Model-Driven Software Development = Speed
 - avoiding duplicate code
 - hiding platform complexity
 - reusing expertise
- When gaining power/speed we loose control
- Model-Driven Techniques create pressure to specify/define requirements

8th NW-MDSE

- Study of model usage (in car industry)
 - process document-centric (required deliverables are documents)
 - requirements are perceived as most important, but
 - models require largest effort (61% vs 23%)
 - same in pure MDD project (59%) than in non-MDD projects (61%)!
 - models are not systematically reused (an one-time effort)
- Repository support for free form tools
 - often company official modeling tools are not used
 - many informal tools and notations are used instead
 - information will be lost after project
 - MS Office is highly available/accessible and often used as informal tool for modeling – model repository support is added
- Clone detection in Domain Models
 - as models get bigger, problems will be different

Conclusions

- Speed – basis for everything else
 - seek speed – efficiency follows
- Simplicity – fight with complexity everywhere
 - hide, automatisize, remove options
 - fight architecture erosion
- Decouple components, teams and organizations
 - continuous independent deployment
- Manage Architectural Knowledge
 - Personalize – communities of practice (incentives to share)
 - Codify – document the essential/critical
- Ensure end-to-end quality – automatisize QA



Thank You!

Questions?

Conclusions from last time!

- Not Documenting, but Understanding
- Design is for Humans
- Problem Structure should define Solution Structure
- Ideal architect is objective
 - he doesn't have any favorite techniques, and
 - all he does, has rationale